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Foreword

There are few things in which Soldiers take greater pride than the military accomplishments of their units. Soldiers personally identify with their units, and each unit is individual, with a unique genealogy, history and reputation. The deeds of courage and valor of unit members past and present give Soldiers justifiable pride, and help create the cohesive concept called “morale” that keeps Soldiers together in stress of combat. These are the identity, traditions and history that make up the intangible spirit of a unit—its soul.

Armies have long recognized the importance of a legacy, and have provided various means of public recognition for distinguished units. In the United States Army, it is done with a system of unit awards for campaign and battle honors that has its roots in the Civil War. In celebration of the Year of the NCO, the Institute of Land Warfare is updating this book describing the Army unit awards system. The text has been revised and expanded since its first appearance nine years ago, and provides a unique explanation and insight into the Army’s history and accomplishments in defense of the nation.

I am proud to dedicate this book to the men and women, past, present and future, who are the Soldiers of America’s Army.

Gordon R. Sullivan
General, United States Army Retired
President, AUSA

September 2009
A U.S. Army unit’s history is displayed in its colors—its lineage, campaigns and commendations. When Soldiers arrive at a new unit, they can study the unit’s colors to understand the honored tradition of which they are now a part. These flags, colors and guidons1 have been used by military organizations for centuries to position, signal and rally the troops. For Soldiers, they are a proud symbol of their unit’s important role in Army history. The story of the campaign streamers is intricate and has involved many of the Army’s prominent leaders for more than 140 years.

Campaign Streamers of the United States Army

A U.S. Army unit’s history is displayed in its colors—its lineage, campaigns and commendations. When Soldiers arrive at a new unit, they can study the unit’s colors to understand the honored tradition of which they are now a part. These flags, colors and guidons1 have been used by military organizations for centuries to position, signal and rally the troops. For Soldiers, they are a proud symbol of their unit’s important role in Army history. The story of the campaign streamers is intricate and has involved many of the Army’s prominent leaders for more than 140 years.

1 Guidons are the modern version of the guidon (a flag) used by the U.S. Army.
On 25 August 1861, Major General John C. Fremont, commanding the Western Department, commended troops from Iowa, Kansas and Missouri for their extraordinary service at the battle of Wilson’s Creek, near Springfield, Missouri, 10 days earlier. Some 4,300 Union Soldiers had fought to a draw a Confederate force five times as large. The battle ended in a moral victory for the Union Army. Fremont ordered the word “Springfield” to be emblazoned on the colors of the units involved in the fighting. He also forwarded the names of the Soldiers and officers who participated in the battle to the War Department for any further action by the government to honor these brave men.

In a joint resolution on Christmas Eve 1861, Congress expressed its appreciation for the gallant and patriotic service of Brigadier General Nathaniel Lyon, who lost his life during the battle, and the officers and Soldiers under his command. To commemorate the battle, Congress confirmed Fremont’s order for each regiment engaged to embroider the word “Springfield” on its colors. Responding to a request by Congress, the President directed that the resolution be read before every regiment in the Union Army.

Two months later the War Department instructed all regiments and batteries to inscribe on their colors or guidons the names of battles in which they had performed meritoriously. The expectation was that the units so distinguished would regard their colors as representing their honor and would guard them to the death. To underline the importance of unit colors, the department awarded Medals of Honor to men who protected the colors. Sergeant Freeman Davis, 80th Regiment, Ohio Volunteer Infantry, won his medal by recovering the regiment’s flags and saving them from capture after the regimental color bearers were shot down at Missionary Ridge, Tennessee. Private Charles Day, Company K, 210th Regiment, Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry, saved from capture the regimental colors of a sister regiment in his brigade at Hatcher’s Run, Virginia, in February 1865 and was awarded the medal. Besides inscribing the names of the battles on regimental colors, Army Regulations published in 1863 directed The Adjutant General to record the names of the battles in the Army Register. They were to appear before the list of regimental officers.
The orders for inscribing the names of battles on flags pertained to the national color, the Stars and Stripes, not to the regimental color. Infantry and artillery regiments carried both the national color and their organizational color. The national color had the name of the regiment embroidered on the center stripe, and the stars were gold although the regulations prescribed them to be white. Each artillery regiment carried a yellow color with two crossed cannon in the center, the letters "U.S." above the cannon, and the designation of the regiment on a scroll below. Each infantry regiment flew a blue standard with the Seal of the United States (sometimes embroidered but more often painted) in the center; under the eagle, on a scroll, was the designation of the regiment.6

Cavalry regiments used a different arrangement. To accommodate mounted troops, the organizational color was smaller, consisting of the seal of the United States on a blue background and the regimental designation on a scroll under it. Cavalry regiments did not carry national colors. Since 1834 each cavalry troop had carried a guidon, which was half red and half white, divided at the fork of the swallow-tail, with the red above. On the red appeared the letters "U.S." in white; on the white was the letter of the company in red. On 18 January 1862, Major General George B. McClellan directed guidons for cavalry and light artillery to be similar to the national color, with 13 stripes but no set pattern for the stars. Usually, each corner of the blue field held a star, and the remaining stars were arranged in two concentric circles. Existing cavalry troops, however, were permitted to use their red and white guidons as long as they were serviceable.7

The Office of The Adjutant General first published the names of battles in which units performed meritoriously in the 1866 Army Register. Regimental commanders provided the lists, and they included not only Civil War battles but engagements since 1791 in which their regiments took part. Some regiments claimed more than 60 battles, although by today’s standards some were obscure actions. For example, the 4th Infantry listed the little-known skirmish at Withlacoochee, Florida, in 1835 and another forgotten action on the fork of Puyallup River, Texas, in 1855. In 1867 the War Department again asked regimental officers to supply lists of battles in which their regiments participated. The department planned to use the lists to compile an authentic catalog of battles; however, no changes were made in regimental battles listed in the register.8
In 1866, along with publishing the names of the battles in which units performed meritoriously, the War Department authorized the Battalion of Engineers to carry its own colors: the national color with “U.S. Engineers” embroidered on the center stripe, and a battalion color of scarlet, with a castle and the letters “U.S.” above and the word “Engineers” below. Both colors were the same size as those of infantry and artillery regiments.

In 1877 Lieutenant General William T. Sherman questioned the accuracy of the regimental lists published in the Army Register because reliable data were not readily available, and he recommended to Secretary of War George W. McCrary that the names of the battles be omitted from the register. The secretary approved the recommendation but did not order the removal of the names of the battles from the colors and guidons. However, a board was convened under Major General Winfield Scott Hancock, a Civil War hero, to examine three issues:

- What was a battle within the spirit of the regulations?
- What portion of a regiment had to be engaged to have the name of a battle inscribed on its color and placed in the Army Register?
- How were the honors of a regiment created from several units through consolidation, as in 1815 and 1869, to be handled?

The War Department published the board’s findings on 13 February 1878. It defined a battle as being an important engagement between two opposing independent armies that determined a question of policy or strategy. An action involving only part of the two opposing armies that tended toward either one of those ends was also granted the dignity of being termed a battle. When an engagement involved only a small portion of two opposing forces, it was to be classified, according to its nature, “an affair,” “combat” or “a skirmish.”

It was determined that two or more companies of a regiment had to be engaged to have the name of a battle inscribed on the national color. The board based that number on tactics and regulations, which entitled a regimental battalion to carry the regiment’s colors. (In 1878 a regimental battalion had no set structure.) As for regiments formed through consolidation as in 1815 and 1869, the board declared that the new unit inherited the honors of all previous organizations that now comprised it.
The board also explored the question of how detached artillery batteries should display their battles. It determined that artillery batteries (as well as cavalry) were entitled to bear on their guidons the names of battles in which they served independently. Furthermore, The Adjutant General was to place the names of those battles in the Army Register next to the letter of the battery. Battery honors were not to include those to which its parent artillery regiment was entitled, but only the battles in which the battery had served independently on detached service. Since all artillery batteries were entitled to carry guidons, the board thought it was appropriate to determine whether detached batteries having served as infantry should inscribe the names of their battles on the guidon. Although such a determination seemed fitting, the board side-stepped the issue.15

In early 1881, revised Army Regulations incorporated the findings of the Hancock Board and reaffirmed the practice of units inscribing the names of the battles on their national color. The Office of The Adjutant General, however, was not authorized to resume publishing the list of battles for each regiment, battalion, battery or cavalry company in the Army Register.16

Since 1862 cavalry troops had used two types of guidons: the red and white, as of 1834, and stars and stripes, as of 1862. In 1885, Lieutenant General Philip H. Sheridan, Commanding General of the Army, restored the silken, two-stripe, red and white guidon for all cavalry troops. Two years later he replaced the blue field with yellow on the organizational flag, making the field the same color as the facing on the cavalry uniform. The size of the flag was also increased to four feet on the fly and three feet on the lance. In 1895 cavalry regiments were finally authorized national colors, which were the same size as the organizational color.17

In 1886 the War Department changed the background of the color for the artillery regiment and the pattern of the artillery battery guidon. Scarlet replaced the yellow on the regimental flag. The new guidon for light artillery was scarlet with two crossed cannon in the center, the regimental number above them and the battery letter below. On both the color and guidon the crossed cannon were yellow, as were the regimental number and battery letter.18
The first proposed change in display of regimental battle honors occurred in 1890. The War Department directed that the names of battles be engraved on silver rings and placed on the staffs of regimental colors. By that time, some regiments claimed so many battles that it was impossible to find room on the flags to inscribe all the names. Moreover, the paint used in gilding the names on the colors cracked, chipped and flaked, creating a tawdry impression. The rings would remedy that and were to be furnished at the department’s expense.

For almost 30 years regimental officers had paid for embroidering or gilding the names of the campaigns on their flags.

Each silver ring was to represent a battle in which one or more troops, batteries or companies of a regiment, or the Battalion of Engineers, had served meritoriously. In cases where fewer than half the number of troops, batteries or companies of a regiment or battalion participated in an engagement, the letter of each engaged guidon-bearing unit followed the name of the battle on the ring. Those artillery batteries and cavalry troops which had been detached from their regiments and engaged separately were authorized to inscribe the names of their battles on silver rings and fasten them to the staffs of their guidons. If an action constituted a battle, The Adjutant General was to announce the inscription for the silver ring. The latter provision meant that for the first time the War Department was to participate actively in naming a battle.

In 1891, Acting Secretary of War Lewis A. Grant renewed the idea of preparing a complete inventory of battles and skirmishes. This time the list was to be published in general orders rather than in the Army Register. (While the inventory was being prepared, the secretary instructed The Quartermaster General to issue colors and guidons without silver rings until the battle inventory was completed.) The list was submitted to the Secretary of War for publication in 1893, but he did not approve the compilation. Questions such as what should be considered a “battle” and what part of a regiment, battery or troop must have been engaged in order to be entitled to credit were unsettled. In 1900 and 1902 the 2d and 27th Infantry were informed that the list
of battles had not been completed; the following year the Office of The Adjutant General informed the 25th Infantry that the list had not been compiled and it was impracticable to make such a compilation at that time. In 1903 Francis B. Heitman, a former employee in the War Department, published the Historical Register and Dictionary of the United States Army. This unofficial work devoted more than 80 pages to listing the battles of the Army from 1775 to 1902.  

In 1903 the Acting Quartermaster General proposed changing the organizational colors for engineer battalions, infantry and cavalry regiments and the Artillery Corps (artillery regiments having been broken up in 1901 to form the Artillery Corps) to incorporate the official Coat of Arms of the United States for purposes of uniformity. The use of the castle and crossed cannon as well as the seal of the United States, which had not followed the approved design, was discontinued. The regulations made no change in the cavalry and field artillery guidons, and for the first time mounted engineer companies were authorized scarlet guidons with a castle in the center. Rather than being swallow-tailed, the engineer guidon was triangular, four feet from the lance to the apex and two feet seven inches on the lance. The Acting Quartermaster General also recommended removing all lettering from the national colors. These changes were approved on 8 October but were not published because of a pending revision of Army Regulations. The 1904 edition authorized the use of the new official Coat of Arms of the United States on regimental colors and required that the official designation of the unit be engraved on a silver band placed on the lance of the national color but omitted any reference about the display of silver rings for battle honors.  

In 1909 the 8th Infantry requested silver rings for its regimental color. The Adjutant General, Major General Fred C. Ainsworth, informed the regiment that the Army no longer required placing rings with the engraved names of battles on the staffs of regimental colors. Three years later the 7th Infantry applied for permission to use regimental funds to purchase silver rings for its color. After the General Staff reviewed the request, on 5 June 1912 Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson reinstated the use of silver bands (formerly rings) as the means to display battle honors, and the change was incorporated into the revised regulations in 1913. He directed the 7th Infantry to submit its list of battles for review by the War Department because he wanted uniformity in the
actions that were to be classified as battles. Shortly thereafter the 7th Infantry submitted its list of battles, but it was returned to the regiment because of questions concerning claims to campaigns in 1814 and 1815. (The silver bands, including those for the disputed battles, were not issued to the regiment until February 1918.) The Quartermaster General Department meanwhile began issuing silver bands, and the 2d and 8th Infantry, the 3d Cavalry and the 3d Battalion, Philippine Scouts all received them before the 7th Infantry.

On 13 April 1914 the 4th Infantry submitted a request for 115 silver bands, which included battles from 1794 to 1901; this reopened the question of defining a battle within the spirit of the regulations. The Army Chief of Staff, Major General William W. Wotherspoon, requested in July 1914 that the Office of The Adjutant General furnish an inventory of battles in which all existing units may have participated. He also asked The Quartermaster General to specify all regiments and battalions, if any, that may have been authorized silver bands. He wanted the War College Division to evaluate the policy for regiments to display battle honors on their colors. The Quartermaster General, Major General James B. Aleshire, provided the list of units and silver bands authorized for each, but The Adjutant General, Major General Henry P. McCain, reported that he had no comprehensive list of validated battles.

In 1916 the 21st Infantry requested that its list of battles be authenticated, but the Office of The Adjutant General filed the request without action. It reported that no official list existed against which to compare the regiment’s request for authentication. The office handled subsequent requests in the same manner (except the request from the 7th Infantry, which was honored in 1918).

Although the requisitioning of silver bands was held in abeyance, in 1915 Army Regulations authorized the Office of The Adjutant General to furnish each company, troop and battery with a suitably embossed certificate with the names and dates of all battles in which the unit had participated. The certificate was also to include the names of the enemy units engaged. A similar certificate was to be prepared for minor “affairs” of each company, troop and battery. When Troop K, 3d Cavalry and the 38th Company, Coast Artillery Corps requested the certificates, the Office of The Adjutant General returned the applications to the units and requested information about the battles.
battles and minor engagements and their dates. Evidently, the units never responded to the request, for no record has been found that any certificates were prepared.26

After World War I several commanders assigned to the American Expeditionary Forces (AEF) asked the War Department for permission to place engraved bands on their regimental colors for their recent service. General John J. Pershing, commander of the AEF, also requested authority from the department to establish and publish a catalog of World War I battles, to include the names, dates and organizations that participated. The War Department approved Pershing’s request on 22 January 1919.27

On 4 March 1919 the AEF announced in general orders the names and dates of battles in the world war with Germany and Austria-Hungary. The orders provided for silver bands to be engraved and placed upon the staffs of regimental colors. The AEF identified 12 battles: Somme Defensive, Lys, Aisne, Montdidier-Noyon, Champagne-Marne, Aisne-Marne, Somme Offensive, Oise-Aisne, Ypres-Lys, St. Mihiel, Meuse-Argonne and Vittoria-Veneto. This was the same list, with the exception of Vittoria-Veneto, that the AEF used to annotate the service records of individuals. Bands were also authorized for any separate and distinct action in a special battle or sector. The orders noted that the Operations Section, General Headquarters, was compiling lists of battle credits for divisions and separate organizations and that drafts would be sent to commanders of those units for comment. Pershing therefore enjoined all his unit commanders to use the utmost care in checking the honors of units and, if errors were found, to report them immediately to the command’s adjutant general.28

With units departing for the United States, time was too short to acquire appropriate silver bands in France. Pershing therefore directed that in lieu of the silver band, each organization entitled to battle credit or credits receive a ribbon with the appropriate name of the battle or battles printed thereon. His idea was to present the silver bands to the organization at a later date.29
On 18 August 1919 the War Department again changed the method of displaying battle honors, this time because of a shortage of silver. All of the names of a unit’s campaigns were to be embroidered on the regimental colors themselves. On 30 October the department announced the first official list of campaigns of the U.S. Army. The list included 76 campaigns: 13 for World War I (Cambrai was the 13th), six for the Philippine Insurrection, two for the China Relief Expedition, three for the War with Spain, 18 for the Indian Wars, 23 for the Civil War, eight for the Mexican War and three for the War of 1812. The inscription for the Indian Wars included only the words “Indian War” with the year or years when the battle or campaign took place. For units that took part in the Revolutionary War, an additional single streamer bore the inscription “Revolution.” The list was designed to avoid overloading the colors with inscriptions, a problem posed by the 4th Infantry’s earlier request. Thus, within most campaigns, battles and engagements were grouped and given a broad descriptive name when they determined a question of policy or strategy. For the China Relief Expedition and the Mexican War, only battles were used.

The new plan for displaying campaign credits cluttered the regimental flags, so in June 1920 the War Department changed the regulations again. This time the department directed each regimental color to bear streamers in the colors of the campaign medal ribbon for each war in which the regiment had fought. (Since no campaign medals existed for the Revolutionary War, the War of 1812 and the Mexican War, the Secretary of War was to prescribe the colors of the streamers.) The names of the campaigns were to be embroidered on streamers. If a unit participated in more than one campaign during a war, each streamer was to be inscribed with as many campaigns as possible. Under the revised regulations, a regiment displayed an honor if at least one regimental battalion earned it, and a separate battalion displayed an honor if two or more companies took part in the campaign. A company was not to receive credit unless at least one-half of its actual strength was engaged in the fighting. Once again, the names of battles and engagements embroidered on streamers were to be recorded in the Army Register above the list of officers of the organization.
With the new system to display battle honors, the Historical Section, Army War College, expanded the list of battles and campaigns in April 1921. There were now 94 campaigns: 13 for World War I, 10 for the Philippine Insurrection, three for the China Relief Expedition, three for the War with Spain, 13 for the Indian Wars, 25 for the Civil War, 10 for the Mexican War, six for the War of 1812 and 11 for the Revolutionary War. The inscriptions for the Indian Wars consisted of the names of the tribes involved but without the dates. Six months later two additional campaigns, one for the Indian Wars and one for the Philippine Insurrection, appeared on the list. At last the War Department had a comprehensive list of the Army’s campaigns, a list that remained unchanged until World War II.32

Campaign credit originally addressed only the service of regiments, battalions, companies, batteries and troops. General Pershing had opposed granting honors to brigades and higher headquarters because they were command and control, not fighting or line units. However, in 1928 the War Department granted brigades and higher headquarters the right to display campaign credit as a way to enhance morale, and eventually the privilege was extended to all Table of Organization units authorized a flag, color or guidon. Organic elements of a regiment or a battalion were not to display campaign credit unless they earned it independently when detached from their parent unit.33

During World War II, 46 campaigns were added to the inventory. They included 24 for the Asiatic-Pacific Theater, 19 for the European-American-Middle Eastern Theater and three for the American Theater. The Army’s participation in the Korean War added another 10 campaigns to the list. The theater of operations included the entire Korean Peninsula, and any unit serving there received campaign credit for one or more of the 10 campaigns.34

In the summer of 1954 the Chief of Military History, Major General Albert C. Smith, questioned why campaign credit had not been granted to units that served in the Mexican Punitive Expedition between 14 March 1916 and 7 February 1917. He pointed out that the War Department had authorized a Mexican Service Medal for individuals, but no campaign streamer had been authorized. Furthermore, the operation included a force larger than many in the approved campaigns, and it had been important as a training ground for the AEF. On 15 August 1955, Chief of Staff General Maxwell D. Taylor approved the addition of Mexico 1916–1917 to the Army’s campaign list.35
One enduring purpose of a unit’s color, flag or guidon is to represent the organization’s service to the nation, yet no flag represented the Army’s overall service to the nation. As the nature of warfare changed, close cooperation among the Army, Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force made some symbol or device necessary to conveniently and unmistakably identify the Army’s contribution.

In May 1955, Major General Donald P. Booth, the Assistant Chief of Staff for Personnel, requested that the Heraldic Branch, Office of The Quartermaster General, submit a design for a flag that would exemplify the Army’s history, traditions and prestige; to represent all elements of the Army; and to be suitable for display at all functions and ceremonies attended by the various components of the Army. Eventually, the Heraldic Branch developed a flag with a white field on which appeared the Department of the Army seal (without Roman numerals) in ultramarine blue in the center. Under the seal was a red scroll with the words “United States Army” in white letters, and under the scroll was written the year “1775.” The Vice Chief of Staff tentatively approved the design on 16 November 1955.

The following month, Booth, now the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, asked the Army staff to consider three proposals for adding campaign streamers to the flag. One proposal was for the flag to display 13 streamers—one for each war—without any inscription; the second proposal was a streamer for each campaign without an inscription naming the campaigns fought by Army units; and the third proposal was to have the names of multiple campaigns embroidered on streamers, similar to those used by units. The Quartermaster Depot in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, erred in manufacturing streamers for the third proposal and made an embroidered streamer for each campaign. Since a briefing was scheduled for the Chief of Staff on the proposal to add streamers to the new flag, there was no time to rectify the error. As it turned out, General Taylor selected the “modified” third option, an inscribed streamer for each campaign.

With Taylor’s endorsement, Secretary of the Army Wilber M. Brucker approved the proposal on 3 April 1956. His sole caveat was that only
selected Army flags would display the streamers. President Dwight D. Eisenhower issued an Executive Order establishing the Army flag, which was unveiled on 14 June 1956 (the Army’s birthday) in Philadelphia (the Army’s birthplace). Because the flag had one streamer per campaign, the same system was adopted for units.

The Office of the U.S. Army Chief of Military History identified 145 streamers for the new flag, although the War Department and Department of the Army had recognized more than that number since adopting the streamer system in 1920. That office omitted the following streamers: American Theater-Ground Combat and Air Combat; Asiatic-Pacific Theater-Antisubmarine, Ground Combat and Air Combat; and European-African-Middle Eastern Theater-Antisubmarine, Ground Combat and Air Combat because they were “war service” streamers, which recognized combat participation that did not occur within a defined campaign area. To distinguish streamers for the Army flag from streamers authorized for units, the flag’s streamers were 12 inches longer and the year or years of each campaign were added to each.

With the involvement of the United States in Vietnam, the number of campaigns again increased. Operations covered an 11-year period and resulted in 17 additional campaigns. Similar to the Korean War, the theater of operations encompassed all of Vietnam.

Since the War Department first published the official list of campaigns in 1919, the American Revolution campaigns had presented a problem. Initially, a unit was to carry a streamer inscribed with the word “Revolution.” When the revised campaign list was published in 1921, named campaigns for the Revolutionary War were listed but were geographically and chronologically unbalanced. Northern operations predominated, only Yorktown being located south of the Mason-Dixon Line. No named campaign from 28 June 1778 until 28 September 1781 was recognized. In the early 1930s the Historical Section, Army War College, had reviewed the campaigns of the Revolutionary War and had recommended modifications to correct these inequities. For reasons that remain unclear, no action was taken.

The issue was resurrected in the 1960s by a student at the Army War College. This time the Chief of Military History recommended no change be made because there was little tangible benefit to the Army. Few currently active units perpetuated Revolutionary War organizations. Only the 1st Battalion, 5th Artillery, a Regular Army
unit, and a handful of Army National Guard units dated to the 1770s or earlier. The Office of the Chief of Military History replowed the ground and recommended adding five additional campaigns and expanding the dates of three others. Lexington was to be added for actions on 19 April 1775, and Charleston, Savannah, Cowpens and Guilford Court House were to recognize actions in the South. The dates for Quebec, Long Island and Saratoga were extended. Secretary of the Army John O. Marsh, Jr., approved the recommendations on 7 April 1975; this increased the number of streamers on the Army flag to 167.40

The Army continues to be called upon to serve in various areas of the world. It saw action in Grenada (1983) and Panama (1989–90), and those operations resulted in a new type of campaign streamer. These streamers were based on the Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal (AFEM), which was awarded to individuals. The medal had been created in 1961, but was retroactively bestowed for actions after 1 July 1958 for U.S. military operations, for U.S. operations in direct support of the United Nations, or for U.S. operations of assistance to friendly foreign nations. Although the services had awarded the medal to individuals, the Navy had also used the AFEM ribbon for campaign participation credit.41 In 1984 the Army adopted the ribbon for streamers; they were inscribed in the same manner as the other campaign streamers based on specific campaign medals.

In 1990 the Army was part of the force deployed to Southwest Asia to halt Iraqi aggression in Kuwait. Active operations in both Saudi Arabia and Kuwait resulted in two more campaigns, the Defense of Saudi Arabia and the Liberation and Defense of Kuwait. The colors of these campaign streamers were based on a new campaign medal.

With the authorization of Armed Forces Expedition streamers for Grenada and Panama, General Bruce Palmer, USA Retired, the commander who had led the U.S. forces in the Dominican Republic intervention in 1965 and 1966, requested that the latter operation be designated a campaign. As early as 1966 the Army staff denied campaign credit for operations in the Dominican Republic because they did not "constitute military actions against an armed enemy."42 Twenty years later a similar request by Sergeant Major of the Army Glen E. Morrell was also denied on the same grounds. With Armed Forces Expedition streamers authorized for both Grenada and Panama, the Army Staff changed its position, and Secretary of the Army Michael P. W. Stone approved a streamer for the Dominican Republic in January 1992.42
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The Army continues to be called upon to serve in various areas of the world. It saw action in Grenada (1983) and Panama (1989–90), and those operations resulted in a new type of campaign streamer. These streamers were based on the Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal (AFEM), which was awarded to individuals. The medal had been created in 1961, but was retroactively bestowed for actions after 1 July 1958 for U.S. military operations, for U.S. operations in direct support of the United Nations, or for U.S. operations of assistance to friendly foreign nations. Although the services had awarded the medal to individuals, the Navy had also used the AFEM ribbon for campaign participation credit.41 In 1984 the Army adopted the ribbon for streamers; they were inscribed in the same manner as the other campaign streamers based on specific campaign medals.

In 1990 the Army was part of the force deployed to Southwest Asia to halt Iraqi aggression in Kuwait. Active operations in both Saudi Arabia and Kuwait resulted in two more campaigns, the Defense of Saudi Arabia and the Liberation and Defense of Kuwait. The colors of these campaign streamers were based on a new campaign medal.

With the authorization of Armed Forces Expedition streamers for Grenada and Panama, General Bruce Palmer, USA Retired, the commander who had led the U.S. forces in the Dominican Republic intervention in 1965 and 1966, requested that the latter operation be designated a campaign. As early as 1966 the Army staff denied campaign credit for operations in the Dominican Republic because they did not "constitute military actions against an armed enemy."42 Twenty years later a similar request by Sergeant Major of the Army Glen E. Morrell was also denied on the same grounds. With Armed Forces Expedition streamers authorized for both Grenada and Panama, the Army Staff changed its position, and Secretary of the Army Michael P. W. Stone approved a streamer for the Dominican Republic in January 1992.42
In 2000, President Bill Clinton authorized campaign streamers for U.S. military action in Kosovo from 1999 to the present. The Kosovo Air Campaign streamer encompasses actions from 24 March 1999 to 10 June 1999, while the Kosovo Defense campaign streamer covers actions from 11 June 1999 to a date to be determined.

Since 11 September 2001, eight campaign streamers have been added, bringing the total number of streamers to 183. Five of the recently-approved streamers represent closed campaigns of Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom; the remaining two represent ongoing campaigns from those operations and will be authorized when they are assigned an end date. The final streamer represents operations in the Global War on Terrorism (GWOT); no separate campaigns have been designated for the GWOT areas of operation. These and all other streamers earned by the Army are listed in the following pages. They symbolize the Army’s service to the nation for more than 234 years. The streamers serve as a source of pride and inspiration for the young men and women of the Army and reflect not only the proud history of the Army but also the courage and sacrifice of America’s Soldiers from 1775 to today.
War of 1812

- Canada 1812–1815
- Chippewa 1814
- Lundy’s Lane 1814
- Bladensburg 1814
- McHenry 1814
- New Orleans 1814–1815

Mexican War

- Palo Alto 1846
- Resaca de la Palma 1846
- Monterrey 1846
- Buena Vista 1847
- Vera Cruz 1847
- Cerro Gordo 1847
- Contreras 1847
- Churubusco 1847
- Molino del Ray 1847
- Chapultepec 1847
Civil War

• Sumter 1861
• Bull Run 1861
• Henry and Donelson 1862
• Mississippi River 1862–1863
• Peninsula 1862
• Shiloh 1862
• Valley 1862
• Manassas 1862
• Antietam 1862
• Fredericksburg 1862
• Murfreesborough 1862–1863
• Chancellorsville 1863
• Gettysburg 1863
• Vicksburg 1863
• Chickamauga 1863
• Chattanooga 1863
• Wilderness 1864
• Atlanta 1864
• Spotsylvania 1864
• Cold Harbor 1864
• Petersburg 1864–1865
• Shenandoah 1864
• Franklin 1864
• Nashville 1864
• Appomattox 1865

Indian Wars

• Miami 1790–1795
• Tippecanoe 1811
• Creeks 1813–1814, 1836–1837
• Seminole 1817–1818, 1835–1842, 1855–1858
• Black Hawk 1832
• Comanches 1867–1875
• Modoc 1872–1873
• Apaches 1873, 1885–1886
• Little Big Horn 1876–1877
• Nez Perces 1877
• Bannocks 1878
• Cheyennes 1878–1879
• Utes 1879–1880
• Pine Ridge 1890–1891
War with Spain
- Santiago 1898
- Puerto Rico 1898
- Manila 1898

China Relief Expedition
- Tientsin 1900
- Yang-tsun 1900
- Peking 1900
Philippine Insurrection

- Manila 1899
- Iloilo 1899
- Malolos 1899
- Laguna de Bay 1899
- San Isidro 1899
- Zapote River 1899

- Cavite 1899–1900
- Tarlac 1899
- San Fabian 1899
- Mindanao 1902–1905
- Jolo 1905, 1906, 1913

Mexican Expedition

- Mexico 1916–1917
World War I

- Cambrail 1917
- Somme Defensive 1918
- Lys 1918
- Aisne 1918
- Montdidier-Noyon 1918
- Champagne-Marne 1918
- Aisne-Marne 1918

- Somme Offensive 1918
- Oise-Aisne 1918
- Ypres-Lys 1918
- St. Mihiel 1918
- Meuse-Argonne 1918
- Vittoria Veneto 1918

World War II – American Theater

- Antisubmarine 1941–1945
World War II – Asiatic-Pacific Theater

- Philippine Islands 1941–1942
- Burma 1941–1942
- Central Pacific 1941–1943
- East Indies 1942
- India-Burma 1942–1945
- Air Offensive, Japan 1942–1945
- Aleutian Islands 1942–1943
- China Defensive 1942–1945
- Paua 1942–1943
- Guadalcanal 1942–1943
- New Guinea 1943–1944
- Northern Solomons 1943–1944
- Eastern Mandates 1944
- Bismarck Archipelago 1943–1944
- Western Pacific 1943–1944
- Leyte 1944–1945
- Luzon 1944–1945
- Central Burma 1945
- Southern Philippines 1945
- Ryukyus 1945
- China Offensive 1945

World War II – European-African-Middle Eastern Theater

- Egypt-Libya 1942–1943
- Air Offensive, Europe 1942–1944
- Algeria-French Morocco 1942
- Tunisia 1942–1943
- Sicily 1943
- Naples-Foggia 1943–1944
- Anzio 1944
- Rome-Arno 1944
- Normandy 1944
- Northern France 1944
- Southern France 1944
- North Apennines 1944–1945
- Rhineland 1944–1945
- Ardennes-Alsace 1944–1945
- Central Europe 1945
- Po Valley 1945
Korean War

- UN Offensive 1950
- Chinese Communist Forces Intervention 1950–1951
- First UN Counteroffensive 1951
- Chinese Communist Forces Spring Offensive 1951
- UN Summer-Fall Offensive 1951
- Second Korean Winter 1951–1952
- Korea Summer-Fall 1952
- Third Korean Winter 1952–1953
- Korea Summer 1953
- Chinese Communist Forces Intervention 1950–1951
- UN Offensive 1950
- UN Summer-Fall Offensive 1951
- Second Korean Winter 1951–1952
- Korea Summer-Fall 1952
- Third Korean Winter 1952–1953
- Korea Summer 1953

Vietnam

- Vietnam Defensive 1965
- Vietnam Counteroffensive 1965–1966
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase II 1966–1967
- Tet Counteroffensive 1968
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase IV 1968
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase V 1968
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase VI 1968–1969
- Vietnam Advisory 1962–1965
- Vietnam Defense 1965
- Vietnam Counteroffensive 1965–1966
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase II 1966–1967
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase IV 1968
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase V 1968
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase VI 1968–1969
- Tet 69/Counteroffensive 1969
- Vietnam Defense 1965
- Vietnam Counteroffensive 1965–1966
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase II 1966–1967
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase IV 1968
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase V 1968
- Vietnam Counteroffensive, Phase VI 1968–1969
- Consolidation I 1971
- Consolidation II 1971–1972
- Vietnam Cease-Fire 1972–1973

Colors of Courage Since 1775
Armed Forces Expeditions

- Dominican Republic 1965–1966
- Grenada 1983
- Panama 1989–1990

Southwest Asia

- Liberation and Defense of Kuwait 1991
- Southwest Asia Cease-Fire 1991–1995
Kosovo Campaign

- Kosovo Air Campaign 1999
- Kosovo Defense Campaign

Global War on Terrorism

- Global War on Terrorism
Operation Enduring Freedom

- Liberation of Afghanistan 2001
- Consolidation I 2001–2006

Operation Iraqi Freedom

- Liberation of Iraq 2003
- Transition of Iraq 2003–2004
- Iraqi Governance 2004–2005
Endnotes

1. Regiments and battalions are authorized colors, which are patterned after the Coat of Arms of the United States. Companies, batteries, troops, detachments and platoons are authorized guidons with distinctive devices, such as crossed cannon or a castle. Flags are used by armies, corps, divisions, brigades and other commands. See Army Regulation (AR) 840-10, Flags, Guidons, Streamers, Tabards, and Automobile and Aircraft Plates, 1 November 1998.

2. The Union Army named battles for the nearest river or stream, while the Confederate Army named them for the nearest town.


4. Headquarters of the Army General Order (AGO) 111, 1861. Congress did not specify either the regimental or national colors.


6. AR 1863, Article L, pp. 461–62, and appendix B, para. 8, p. 511; S. B. Holabird, Flag of the Army of the United States Carried During the War of the Rebellion (Philadelphia: Burk and McFetridge Lithographers and Publishers, 1887), no pagination; Gherardi Davis, The Colors of the United States Army 1789–1912 (New York: Gillis Press, 1912), p. 46. The only permanent units of the Army in 1863 were artillery, cavalry and infantry regiments. The Arms of the United States, which was also the device for the Seal of the United States, was adopted on 20 June 1872 by the Continental Congress. Since that time the State Department has been responsible for determining the execution of the device; see The History of the Seal of the United States (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1909), pp. 7, 41–43.

7. AGO 4, 1862; AR 1863, pp. 461–62 and appendix B, para. 8, p. 511; Disposition Form (DF) Office of the Chief of Military History (OCMH), to Chief of Information (CINFO), subj: Request for Information,
9. Official Army Register, 1866, pp. 18–72, and 1867, pp. 22–85; WB Memo for CS, subj: Placing of rings or bands with names of battles engraved thereon, on the pikes or lances of colors or standards of organizations, from Chief, Mobile Army Division, 7 May 1912, in TAPC-PDH; WD CIRC., 1 Mar 1867.

10. AGO 93, 1866; Tabular Statements Showing the Names of Commanders of Army Corps, Divisions and Brigades United States Army During the War of 1861 to 1865 (Philadelphia: Burk and McFetridge, Printers and Lithographers, 1887), frontispiece.


12. AGO 111, 1877.

13. AGO 5, 1878.

14. Ibid.

15. Ibid.


17. AR 1889, pp. 211–13; AR 1895, pp. 31–2; AGO 10, 1883; AGO 31, 1887.

18. AGO 34, 1886.


20. Letter 2553 A.C.P. 1891 to TQMG from the AGO, no subj., 27 Mar 1891, in TAPC-PDH; Memo Rpt 504077 AGO, no subj., 30 Sep 1903, in TAPC-PDH; AGO Memo 322.1, subj: The Engraving of Names of Battles on Silver Bands to be placed on the Pike of Colors of Regiment, 6 Jun 1919, in DAMH-HSO; Francis B. Heitman, Historical Colors of Courage Since 1775 • 53


22. AGO Memo 322.1, subj: The Engraving of Names of Battles on Silver Bands to be placed on the Pike of Colors of Regiment, 6 Jun 1919; Change to Army Regulations (CAR) No. 5, WD, 5 Jun 1912; para. 244, AR 1913, p. 59; Letter to COL T. M. Anderson from MG E. F. McGlachlin, Jr., no subj., 3 Apr 1923; Letter from 7th Infantry to TAG, subj: Silver Bands for pike of colors, 12 Nov 1917; Letter to CG, Phila. QM Dept, Philadelphia, Pa., from OQMG, subj: Issue of silver bands for colors of the 7th Infantry, 3 Apr 1912; AGO Memo 2107884, subj: Engraved ring for colors, 3d Battalion, Philippine Scouts, 27 Dec 13 (all letters and memos in DAMH-HSO).

23. The current 4th Infantry was organized in 1812.

24. Letter to TAG from Cdr, 4th Infantry, subj: Battles and Engagements 4th Infantry, 13 Apr 1914, in DAMH-HSO; Memo, Engraving of Names, 6 Jun 1919.

25. Memo, Engraving of Names, 6 Jun 1919; Letter to TAG from 21st Infantry, subj: Request that silver bands be supplied to be placed on pike of regimental colors denoting battles in which the 21st Infantry participated, 21 Jan 1916, in DAMH-HSO; see also endnote 23.

26. CAR No.31, 10 Aug 1915; Memo, Engraving of Names, 6 Jun 1919.

27. Memo, Engraving of Names, 6 Jun 1919.

28. AEF GO 4 and 41, 1919.

29. AEF GO 41, 1919.

30. CAR 92, 18 Aug 1919; WD GO 83 and 122, 1919; Memo for the Chief, Historical Branch, War Plans Division, subj: Inscription on Battle Streamers, undated, in DAMH-HSO. It should be noted that some single battles were granted campaign status.
31. CAR 105, 1919; CAR 124, 1922; Memo, subj: Inscription on Battle Streamers, undated, in DAMH-HSO. Streamers for the Revolutionary War, the War of 1812 and the Mexican War were based on the colors of the foe.

32. WD GO 16 and 45, 1921. Hereafter the battles and campaigns are referred to as campaigns.


35. SS HIS 314.7, subj: Campaign Streamers for Units in the Mexican Punitive Expedition, 3 Jun 1954; DF CMH to Army Chief of Staff; O-D and TAGO, subj: Streamer for Mexican Punitive Expedition, 19 Aug 1955, in DAMH-HSO.

36. Draft Army Flag Pamphlet: Part I, CMH, in DAMH-HSO.


38. DF OCMH to DCSPER et al., 9 Jan 1956, Subj: STREAMERS for Proposed Army Flag, in DAMH-HSO.


