

How Far Has Military Pay Fallen Behind Civilian Pay? Now We Know

In 1972, Congress approved a sub-stantial pay increase for the Armed Forces of the United States. In part that raise was intended to spur enlist-ments in the newly conceived all-volun-tear force but it also had the impor 1972, teer force but it also had the impor-tant purpose of bringing military pay into comparability with civilian pay. It was agreed at that time that military pay would be adjusted annually to

into comparability with civilian pay. It was agreed at that time that military pay would be adjusted annually to compensate for the effects of inflation. But, in order to save money, Presi-dent Ford, in 1975, and President Car-ter, in 1978 and 1979, have "capped" adjustments to military pay at levels short of the actual increase in the Con-sumer Price Index. It has been obvious that military pay has fallen progres-sively further behind the rate of infla-tion but no one bothered to quantify that gap until a recent Department of Defense study brought out the specifics. That study shows enlisted personnel have lost between 10.9 and 16.7 per-cent of their purchasing power since 1972, junior officers have lost between 15.1 and 19.0 percent, while senior officers (lieutenant colonels through four-star generals) have lost between 16.9 and 24.6 percent. The study also shows that almost a third of all enlisted people earn base pay that equates to less than the Fed-eral Minimum Wage—without consid-ering the number of hours they may have to perform their duties over and above a "normal" 40-hour work week and disregarding their level of expert-ness at their jobs. But while this gross decline in mili-

above a "normal" 40-hour work week and disregarding their level of expert-ness at their jobs. But while this gross decline in mili-tary purchasing power was occuring, professional, clerical, technical and ad-ministrative workers in the civilian sec-tor were suffering a decline in purchas-ing power of less than one percent. At the same time, civilian workers in the production sector of our economy were gaining 8.6 percent in purchasing power. The report estimates that it would take a pay raise of between seven and 15 percent to reestablish military pay in the relative position it had in 1971—before the "compara-bility" pay raise! There was no esti-mate of how much it might cost to make up the money lost by each Sol-dier, Sailor, Airman and Marine since 1975. The report makes it very clear that young service people are aware of the difference in income between them-selves and their hometown contem-poraries and that this perception of

selves and their hometown contem-poraries and that this perception of shortfall is a strong inducement to abandon the service after the first enlistment. This is an obvious weakness in our ability to attract and retain good people in our ranks, both as officers and as enlisted personnel.

Military people should be paid like the first-class citizens they are, not left to bring up the economic rear. If volun-teerism is to succeed and if we are to have true equity military pay must keep pace with reality.